

State answers that nothing within Oklahoma law suggests that a functional radar gun is required to enforce traffic laws. In this case the Oklahoma State Trooper, who is CLEET certified, testified he was trained to visually estimate the speed of vehicles within 5 mph of their actual speed. He testified that he visually observed Appellant's vehicle exceeding the posted speed limit.

The standard for review, as set forth in *Spuehler v. State*, 1985 OK CR 132, ¶ 7, 709 P.2d 202, is "whether after reviewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the State, a rational trier of fact could have found the existence of the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt." In this case any rational juror could have found the existence of the essential elements of this crime beyond a reasonable doubt.

DECISION

The Judgment and Sentence in Texas County District Court Case No. TR-2017-2685 is **AFFIRMED**. Pursuant to Rule 3.15, *Rules of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals*, Title 22, Ch.18, App. (2019), the **MANDATE** is **ORDERED** issued upon the filing of this decision.

**A MISDEMEANOR APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF
TEXAS COUNTY, THE HONORABLE A. CLARK JETT, ASSOCIATE
DISTRICT JUDGE**

APPEARANCES AT TRIAL

CHRISTOPHER J. LIEBMAN
104 NE 4TH STREET
P. O. BOX 2055
GUYMON, OKLAHOMA 73942
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

TAOS C. SMITH
ASSISTANT DISTRICT
ATTORNEY
319 N. MAIN STREET
GUYMON, OKLAHOMA 73942
COUNSEL FOR THE STATE

OPINION BY: LEWIS, P.J.

KUEHN, V.P.J.: Concur
LUMPKIN, J.: Concur
HUDSON, J.: Concur
ROWLAND, J.: Concur
RA

**APPEARANCES ON
APPEAL**

CHRISTOPHER J. LIEBMAN
104 NE 4TH STREET
P. O. BOX 2055
GUYMON, OKLAHOMA 73942
COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

MIKE HUNTER
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
OKLAHOMA
THEODORE M. PEEPER
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY
GENERAL
313 N.E. 21ST STREET
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105
COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE